

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/591,009

Applicant(s)

Shukla

Examiner

THERKON

Group Art Unit

1723

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ashok Shukla

(3)

(2) Mukta Shukla

(4)

Date of Interview Jan 24, 2002

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: The claims of record

Identification of prior art discussed:

U.S. Patent No 6,190,559

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner indicated that he was of the opinion that the claims were sufficient broad that they read on US Patent No. 6,190,559. However, applicants disagreed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Art Unit 1723

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.